

at an elevation sufficient for viewing by a plurality of following vehicles arrayed serially behind the first vehicle.

Add New Claims:

4. The device of claim 3 wherein said illumination means each comprise a plurality of light emitting diodes set in an array to illuminate at least one lens.

5. The device of claim 4 comprising a printed circuit board positioned between the cover and the base in at least one body, said plurality of light emitting diodes mounted on the printed circuit board.

REMARKS


Claims 1 and 3 have been rejected as obvious over Marshall in view of Groeller, and claim 2 has been rejected as obvious further in view of Roney. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and following considerations.

Claims 1 and 2 have been cancelled, and claim 3 has been amended to an independent claim by incorporating all but the last paragraph of claim 1. Claim 3 is now entirely supported by the disclosure in the parent application no. 08/613,308, filed March 11, 1996. FIGs. 1 through 4 of the parent application and the Specification on page 3, first full paragraph and page 7, lines 9 through 14 disclose the high location for the signal lights. The remaining elements of the claim are supported by the description of the preferred embodiments ending with the description of FIG. 14. The principal reference, Marshall, cited by the examiner was filed July 11, 1996, subsequent to the filing date of applicant's parent application noted above. Therefore, claim 3 is now believed allowable.

Claims 4 and 5 are dependent upon claim 3 as amended and are therefore now also believed allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFF L. HYMER

By 

James M. Deimen, #25504
320 N. Main Street, Suite 300
Ann Arbor, MI 48104-1192
Telephone: (734) 994-5947
Facsimile: (734) 769-2702

(JMD/Hymer Response to Action 01-11-00)